United States District Court

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)
v.)
) Case No. 24-mj-1188 (GLS)
FRANCISCO JAVIER MERCEDES)
Defendant)

	Defendant)		
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL				
Part I - Eligibility for Detention				
Upon the				
⊠ Motio	on of the Government attorney pursuant	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or		
☐ Motio	on of the Government or Court's own m	notion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),		
		s warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact n addition to any other findings made at the hearing.		
	Part II - Findings of Fact and Law	as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)		
presumption that	_	§ 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable tions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person ave been met:		
\square (1) the d	defendant is charged with one of the following	owing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):		
		J.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.		
	an offense for which the maximum sen	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or		
` /		of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the		
Co	ontrolled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 8	801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or		
(a) des	through (c) of this paragraph, or two or	victed of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs more State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal of such offenses; or		
` '	any felony that is not otherwise a crime			
		firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>		
7 7	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.		
ů ·	f)(1), or of a State or local offense that very jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise		

(a) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance givinto Federal jurisdiction had existed; and
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

☑ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term o imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☑C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is
ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employment
Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties
☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
 Significant family or other ties outside the United States Lack of legal status in the United States Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration Prior failure to appear in court as ordered Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement Use of alias(es) or false documents Background information unknown or unverified Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences of being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

s/ Héctor L. Ramos-Vega

United States Magistrate Judge

01/08/2025

Date: